

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,094	11/25/2003	Kie Y. Ahn	303.560US4	7159	
21186	7590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			KIM, PA	KIM, PAUL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3729	-	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/07/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/722,094	AHN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul D Kim	3729			
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app I for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
<b>Th</b> -   -   -   -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of a silure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing parried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a)	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispo	sition of Claims	•				
5) 6) 7)	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or expression.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Applic	cation Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priori	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2)	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Apper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to a triangular open inductor pattern (No Fig.) of claims 1-12. Species B, drawn to a circular open inductor pattern (No Fig.) of claims 13-33.

If applicant elects Species A, then:

- I. Claims 1-4, a method of forming an inductor, class 29, and subclass 608.
- II. Claims 5-12, a method of forming an inductor, class 29, and subclass 609.

Inventions Group I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group II) as claimed because the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require processes of forming a plurality of sandwich structures and forming

Art Unit: 3729

conductive path through the plurality of sandwich structures. The subcombination has separate utility such as the plurality of sandwich structures and the conductive path.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elects Species B, then:

- I. Claims 13-30, a method of forming an inductor, class 29, and subclass 608.
- II. Claims 31-33, a method of forming an inductor, class 29, and subclass 609.

Inventions Group I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group II) as claimed because the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require processes of forming a plurality of sandwich structures and forming conductive path through the plurality of sandwich structures. The

Art Unit: 3729

subcombination has separate utility such as the plurality of sandwich structures and the conductive path.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 3729

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-8356. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

pdk

A. DEXTERTUGBANZ PRIMARY EXAMINER